

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,365	03/06/2002	Mark A. Tracy	1733.1004-014	9746
21005	7590 04/01/2003			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			EXAMINER	
			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
			1617	5
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	· 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 10/092365 Applicant(s) TRAC 7
Office Action Summary	
	Examiner Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	3
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Lit, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	/ /
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10/29/02
☐ This action is FINAL.	
	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Cialms	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	ic/are allowed
Claim(s) 1-10	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review. PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	- - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. 	of the priority documents have been
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Ir 	
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	948 🗆 Other

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/092,365

Art Unit: 1617

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,711,968. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of '968 encompass the instant claims regarding the absence of protamine and the instant claims encompass '968 concerning percent interferon.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-3592.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,365

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd March 11, 2003

> IOSSAT J. WERMAN FRIJAAN EVALUETE ERAND 1500